

Classical Examples

Let $H(\mathbb{C}) = \{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ analytic}\} =$ the set of all entire functions $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Classical Examples

Let $H(\mathbb{C}) = \{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ analytic}\} =$ the set of all entire functions $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Convergence: " $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $H(\mathbb{C})$ " means " $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$."

Classical Examples

Let $H(\mathbb{C}) = \{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ analytic}\} =$ the set of all entire functions $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Convergence: " $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $H(\mathbb{C})$ " means " $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$."

- Birkho (1929): There is a function $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ so that $\{f(z), f(z+1), f(z+2), f(z+3) \dots\}$ is dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$.

Classical Examples

Let $H(\mathbb{C}) = \{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ analytic}\} =$ the set of all entire functions $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Convergence: " $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $H(\mathbb{C})$ " means " $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$."

- Birkhoff (1929): There is a function $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ so that $\{f(z), f(z+1), f(z+2), f(z+3) \dots\}$ is dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$.
- G. R. MacLane (1952): There is a function $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ so that $\{f(z), f'(z), f''(z), f'''(z) \dots\}$ is dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$.

Classical Examples

Let $H(\mathbb{C}) = \{f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ analytic}\} =$ the set of all entire functions $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Convergence: " $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $H(\mathbb{C})$ " means " $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$."

- Birkhoff (1929): There is a function $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ so that $\{f(z), f(z+1), f(z+2), f(z+3) \dots\}$ is dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$.
- G. R. MacLane (1952): There is a function $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ so that $\{f(z), f'(z), f''(z), f'''(z) \dots\}$ is dense in $H(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $p \geq 1$, and $B : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ be the unilateral backward shift defined by $B(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$.

- Rolewicz (1969): If $t \in (1, \infty)$, then there exists a vector x in ℓ^p so that $\{x, (tB)x, (tB)^2x, (tB)^3x, \dots\}$ is dense in ℓ^p .

Hypercyclicity Criterion

Let X be a separable, infinite-dimensional Banach space over $\mathbb{C}$, and $B(X) = \{T : X \rightarrow X \mid T \text{ is bounded and linear}\}$.

Hypercyclicity Criterion

Let X be a separable, infinite-dimensional Banach space over $\mathbb{C}$, and $B(X) = \{T : X \rightarrow X \mid T \text{ is bounded and linear}\}$.

Definition. A bounded linear operator T in $B(X)$ is hypercyclic if there is a vector x whose orbit $\text{orb}(T, x) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \dots\}$ is dense in X . Such a vector x is called a hypercyclic vector.

Hypercyclicity Criterion

Let X be a separable, infinite-dimensional Banach space over $\mathbb{C}$, and $B(X) = \{T : X \rightarrow X \mid T \text{ is bounded and linear}\}$.

Definition. A bounded linear operator T in $B(X)$ is hypercyclic if there is a vector x whose orbit $\text{orb}(T, x) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \dots\}$ is dense in X . Such a vector x is called a hypercyclic vector.

- Kitai (1982), Gethner and Shapiro (1987): $T : X \rightarrow X$ is hypercyclic if there is a dense set D of X and T has a right inverse S so that $T^n x \rightarrow 0$ and $S^n x \rightarrow 0$ for each vector $x \in D$.

The Invariant Subspace Problem

$\overline{\text{orb}(T, x)} = \overline{\{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \dots\}}$ = the smallest closed invariant subset containing x .

The Invariant Subspace Problem

$\overline{\text{orb}(T, x)} = \overline{\{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \dots\}}$ = the smallest closed invariant subset containing x .

$\overline{\text{span orb}(T, x)} = \overline{\{p(T)x : p \text{ is a polynomial}\}}$ = the smallest closed invariant subspace containing x .

The Invariant Subspace Problem

$\overline{\text{orb}(T, x)} = \overline{\{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \dots\}}$ = the smallest closed invariant subset containing x .

$\overline{\text{span orb}(T, x)} = \overline{\{p(T)x : p \text{ is a polynomial}\}}$ = the smallest closed invariant subspace containing x .

Invariant Subspace Problem (1920s, still open today): Must every bounded linear operator $T : H \rightarrow H$ on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space H have a nontrivial invariant subspace?

The Invariant Subspace Problem

$\overline{\text{orb}(T, x)} = \overline{\{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \dots\}}$ = the smallest closed invariant subset containing x .

$\overline{\text{span orb}(T, x)} = \overline{\{p(T)x : p \text{ is a polynomial}\}}$ = the smallest closed invariant subspace containing x .

Invariant Subspace Problem (1920s, still open today): Must every bounded linear operator $T : H \rightarrow H$ on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space H have a nontrivial invariant subspace?

- Enflo (1987): Not if the space is ℓ^1 . That is, there is an operator T on ℓ^1 for which every nonzero vector x has the property that $\overline{\text{span orb}(T, x)} = \ell^1$.

The Invariant Subspace Problem

$\overline{\text{orb}(T, x)} = \overline{\{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \dots\}}$ = the smallest closed invariant subset containing x .

$\overline{\text{span orb}(T, x)} = \overline{\{p(T)x : p \text{ is a polynomial}\}}$ = the smallest closed invariant subspace containing x .

Invariant Subspace Problem (1920s, still open today): Must every bounded linear operator $T : H \rightarrow H$ on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space H have a nontrivial invariant subspace?

- Enflo (1987): Not if the space is ℓ^1 . That is, there is an operator T on ℓ^1 for which every nonzero vector x has the property that $\overline{\text{span orb}(T, x)} = \ell^1$.
- Read (1989): There is an operator T on ℓ^1 with no nontrivial closed invariant subset. That is, every nonzero vector x has the property that $\overline{\text{orb}(T, x)} = \ell^1$.

Nonhypercyclic Operators

If X

Nonhypercyclic Operators

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. The adjoint $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ has an eigenvalue $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $T^*y = \alpha y$.

Nonhypercyclic Operators

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. The adjoint $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ has an eigenvalue $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $T^*y = \alpha y$. Then for any vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\langle T^n x, y \rangle = \langle x, T^{*n} y \rangle = \langle x, \alpha^n y \rangle = \alpha^n \langle x, y \rangle,$$

which cannot be dense in $\mathbb{C}$. $\square$

Nonhypercyclic Operators

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. The adjoint $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ has an eigenvalue $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $T^*y = \alpha y$. Then for any vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\langle T^n x, y \rangle = \langle x, T^{*n} y \rangle = \langle x, \alpha^n y \rangle = \alpha^n \langle x, y \rangle,$$

which cannot be dense in $\mathbb{C}$. $\square$

Back to the case when X is infinite dimensional.

Nonhypercyclic Operators

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. The adjoint $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ has an eigenvalue $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $T^*y = \alpha y$. Then for any vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\langle T^n x, y \rangle = \langle x, T^{*n} y \rangle = \langle x, \alpha^n y \rangle = \alpha^n \langle x, y \rangle,$$

which cannot be dense in $\mathbb{C}$. $\square$

Back to the case when X is infinite dimensional.

If $F : X \rightarrow X$ has finite rank (that is, $\dim \operatorname{ran} F < \infty$), then $I + F$ is not hypercyclic.

Nonhypercyclic Operators

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. The adjoint $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ has an eigenvalue $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $T^*y = \alpha y$. Then for any vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\langle T^n x, y \rangle = \langle x, T^{*n} y \rangle = \langle x, \alpha^n y \rangle = \alpha^n \langle x, y \rangle,$$

which cannot be dense in $\mathbb{C}$. $\square$

Back to the case when X is infinite dimensional.

If $F : X \rightarrow X$ has finite rank (that is, $\dim \operatorname{ran} F < \infty$), then $I + F$ is not hypercyclic.

If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is compact, then T is not hypercyclic.

Nonhypercyclic Operators

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. The adjoint $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ has an eigenvalue $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $T^*y = \alpha y$. Then for any vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\langle T^n x, y \rangle = \langle x, T^{*n} y \rangle = \langle x, \alpha^n y \rangle = \alpha^n \langle x, y \rangle,$$

which cannot be dense in $\mathbb{C}$. $\square$

Back to the case when X is infinite dimensional.

If $F : X \rightarrow X$ has finite rank (that is, $\dim \operatorname{ran} F < \infty$), then $I + F$ is not hypercyclic.

If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is compact, then T is not hypercyclic.

If X is a Hilbert space, no normal operator is hypercyclic.

Hypercyclic vectors

Suppose $\{x_j : j \geq 1\}$ is a countable dense subset of X , and x is a vector in X . For x to be a hypercyclic vector, the following must hold:

For all x_j and for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a integer n such that $\|T^n x - x_j\| < \epsilon$;

Hypercyclic vectors

Suppose $\{x_j : j \geq 1\}$ is a countable dense subset of X , and x is a vector in X . For x to be a hypercyclic vector, the following must hold:

For all x_j and for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a integer n such that $\|T^n x - x_j\| < \epsilon$;

Equivalently, $x \in T^{-n}B(x_j, \epsilon)$.

Hypercyclic vectors

Suppose $\{x_j : j \geq 1\}$ is a countable dense subset of X , and x is a vector in X . For x to be a hypercyclic vector, the following must hold:

For all x_j and for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a integer n such that $\|T^n x - x_j\| < \epsilon$;

Equivalently, $x \in T^{-n}B(x_j, \epsilon)$.

Let $\mathcal{HC}(T) = \{x \in X \mid x \text{ is a hypercyclic vector for } T\}$.

Hypercyclic vectors

Suppose $\{x_j : j \geq 1\}$ is a countable dense subset of X , and x is a vector in X . For x to be a hypercyclic vector, the following must hold:

For all x_j and for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a integer n such that $\|T^n x - x_j\| < \epsilon$;

Equivalently, $x \in T^{-n}B(x_j, \epsilon)$.

Let $\mathcal{HC}(T) = \{$

A Basic Zero-One Law for Hypercyclic Vectors

- Kitai (1982): For any operator T in $B(X)$, either $\mathcal{HC}(T)$ is either $\emptyset$ or a dense G set.

A Basic Zero-One Law for Hypercyclic Vectors

- Kitai (1982): For any operator T in $B(X)$, either $\mathcal{HC}(T)$ is either $\emptyset$ or a dense G set.

Baire Category Theorem $\Rightarrow$

If $\{T_n : X \rightarrow X | n \geq 1\}$ is a countable collection of hypercyclic operators, then their set of common hypercyclic vectors

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{HC}(T_n)$$

is a dense G set.

A Basic Zero-One Law for Hypercyclic Vectors

- Kitai (1982): For any operator T in $B(X)$, either $\mathcal{HC}(T)$ is either $\emptyset$ or a dense G set.

Baire Category Theorem $\implies$

If $\{T_n : X \rightarrow X | n \geq 1\}$ is a countable collection of hypercyclic operators, then their set of common hypercyclic vectors

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{HC}(T_n)$$

is a dense G set.

- Salas (1999): If B is the unilateral backward shift, is the set of common hypercyclic vectors

$$\bigcap_{t>1} \mathcal{HC}(tB) \neq \emptyset?$$

Existence of a G_δ Set of Common Hypercyclic Vectors

- Abakumov & Gordon (2003): $\bigcap_{1 < t} \mathcal{HC}(tB)$ = the set of common hypercyclic vectors for tB is a dense G_δ set.

Existence of a G_δ Set of Common Hypercyclic Vectors

- Abakumov & Gordon (2003): $\bigcap_{1 < t} \mathcal{HC}(tB)$ = the set of common hypercyclic vectors for tB is a dense G_δ set.
- Costakis & Sambarino (2004): Reproved the above result with a simpler proof by introducing a sufficient condition for common hypercyclicity, and showed other applications.

Existence of a G_δ Set of Common Hypercyclic Vectors

- Abakumov & Gordon (2003): $\bigcap_{1 < t} \mathcal{HC}(tB)$ = the set of common hypercyclic vectors for tB is a dense G_δ set.
- Costakis & Sambarino (2004): Reproved the above result with

Unilateral Weighted Backward Shifts on ℓ^p

$T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ is said to be a unilateral weighted backward shift if there is a bounded positive weight sequence $\{w_j : j \geq 1\}$ such that

$$T(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = (w_1 a_1, w_2 a_2, w_3 a_3, \dots).$$

Unilateral Weighted Backward Shifts on ℓ^p

$T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ is said to be a unilateral weighted backward shift if there is a bounded positive weight sequence $\{w_j : j \geq 1\}$ such that

$$T(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = (w_1 a_1, w_2 a_2, w_3 a_3, \dots).$$

- Salas (1995): A unilateral weighted backward shift T is hypercyclic if and only if $\sup\{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n : n \geq 1\} = \infty$.

Unilateral Weighted Backward Shifts on ℓ^p

$T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ is said to be a unilateral weighted backward shift if there is a bounded positive weight sequence $\{w_j : j \geq 1\}$ such that

$$T(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = (w_1 a_1, w_2 a_2, w_3 a_3, \dots).$$

- Salas (1995): A unilateral weighted backward shift T is hypercyclic if and only if $\sup\{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n : n \geq 1\} = \infty$.
- Grosse-Erdmann (2000): Generalizations to Frechet spaces.

Bilateral Weighted Shifts on ℓ^p

$T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ is a bilateral weighted backward shift if there is a bounded positive weight sequence $\{w_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that

$$T(\dots, a_{-1}, \overbrace{a_0}^{\text{zeroth}}, a_1, \dots) = (\dots, w_{-1}a_{-1}, w_0a_0, \overbrace{w_1a_1}^{\text{zeroth}}, w_2a_2, \dots).$$

Bilateral Weighted Shifts on ℓ^p

$T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ is a bilateral weighted backward shift if there is a bounded positive weight sequence $\{w_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that

$$T(\dots, a_{-1}, \overbrace{a_0}^{\text{zeroth}}, a_1, \dots) = (\dots, w_{-1}a_{-1}, w_0a_0, \overbrace{w_1a_1}^{\text{zeroth}}, w_2a_2, \dots).$$

- Salas (1995): A bilateral weighted shift T is hypercyclic if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an arbitrarily large n such that whenever $|k| \leq q$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n w_{k+j} > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} w_{k-j} < \epsilon.$$

Paths of Hypercyclic Weighted Shifts on ℓ^p

- with Sanders (2009): Between any two hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts, there is a path of such operators with a dense G set of common hypercyclic vectors. Also, there is a path of such operators with no common hypercyclic vectors.

Paths of Hypercyclic Weighted Shifts on ℓ^p

- with Sanders (2009): Between any two hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts, there is a path of such operators with a dense G set of common hypercyclic vectors. Also, there is a path of such operators with no common hypercyclic vectors.
- Corollary: The hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts form a path-connected subset in the operator algebra.

Paths of Hypercyclic Weighted Shifts on ℓ^p

- with Sanders (2009): Between any two hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts, there is a path of such operators with a dense G set of common hypercyclic vectors. Also, there is a path of such operators with no common hypercyclic vectors.
- Corollary: The hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts form a path-connected subset in the operator algebra.
- The same holds true for bilateral weighted shifts.

Paths of Hypercyclic Weighted Shifts on ℓ^p

- with Sanders (2009): Between any two hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts, there is a path of such operators with a dense G set of common hypercyclic vectors. Also, there is a path of such operators with no common hypercyclic vectors.
- Corollary: The hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts form a path-connected subset in the operator algebra.
- The same holds true for bilateral weighted shifts.

Natural Question: Can we have "a lot" of operators in a path and yet their common hypercyclic vectors still form a dense G subset? What do we mean by "a lot"?

Existence of Hypercyclic Operators

- Ansari (1997) : For every separable, infinite dimensional Banach space X , there is a hypercyclic operator T in $B(X)$.

Existence of Hypercyclic Operators

- Ansari (1997) : For every separable, infinite dimensional Banach space X , there is a hypercyclic operator T in $B(X)$.

Definition. A vector $x \in X$ is said to be a periodic point of an operator T in $B(X)$ if there is a positive integer n such that $T^n x = x$.

Definition. An operator on X is said to be chaotic if and only if it is hypercyclic and has a dense set of periodic points.

Existence of Hypercyclic Operators

- Ansari (1997) : For every separable, infinite dimensional Banach space X , there is a hypercyclic operator T in $B(X)$.

Definition. A vector $x \in X$ is said to be a periodic point of an operator T in $B(X)$ if there is a positive integer n such that $T^n x = x$.

Definition. An operator on X is said to be chaotic if and only if it is hypercyclic and has a dense set of periodic points.

- Bonnet & Martínez-Giménez & Peris (2001): There is a separable, infinite dimensional Banach space which admits no chaotic operator.

A Zero-One Law for Chaotic Operators

SOT = Strong Operator Topology of the operator algebra $B(X)$.

- (2002): For a separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space H , the hypercyclic operators on H are SOT-dense in $B(H)$.

A Zero-One Law for Chaotic Operators

SOT = Strong Operator Topology of the operator algebra $B(X)$.

- (2002): For a separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space H , the hypercyclic operators on H are SOT-dense in $B(H)$.
- with Bes (2003): The set of chaotic operators on a separable, infinite dimensional Banach space X is either empty or SOT-dense in $B(X)$.

A Zero-One Law for Chaotic Operators

SOT = Strong Operator Topology of the operator algebra $B(X)$.

- (2002): For a separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space H , the hypercyclic operators on H are SOT-dense in $B(H)$.
- with Bes (2003): The set of chaotic operators on a separable, infinite dimensional Banach space X is either empty or SOT-dense in $B(X)$.

Indeed, if $T \in B(X)$ is hypercyclic, then its conjugate class, or similarity orbit, $\{A^{-1}TA : A \text{ invertible on } X\}$ is SOT-dense in $B(X)$.

A Double Density Theorem

Let H be separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space over $\mathbb{C}$.

- with Sanders (2011): There is a path of chaotic operators in $B(H)$ that is SOT-dense in $B(H)$, and each operator on the path shares the exact same set $\mathcal{G}$ of common hypercyclic vectors.

A Double Density Theorem

Let H be separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space over $\mathbb{C}$.

- with Sanders (2011): There is a path of chaotic operators in $B(H)$ that is SOT-dense in $B(H)$, and each operator on the path shares the exact same set $\mathcal{G}$ of common hypercyclic vectors.
- Corollary: The path can be taken so that each operator along the path satisfies the hypercyclicity criterion.

A Double Density Theorem

Let H be separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space over $\mathbb{C}$.

- with Sanders (2011): There is a path of chaotic operators in $B(H)$ that is SOT-dense in $B(H)$, and each operator on the path shares the exact same set $\mathcal{G}$ of common hypercyclic vectors.
- Corollary: The path can be taken so that each operator along the path satisfies the hypercyclicity criterion.
- Corollary: The hypercyclic operators in $B(H)$ are SOT-connected.
- Corollary: The hypercyclic operators T in $B(H)$ with $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{HC}(T)$ are SOT-connected.

Similarity Orbits

For an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ on a Banach space X , we let $\mathcal{S}(T) = \{A^{-1}TA \mid A \text{ invertible}\}$ be the similarity orbit of T .

Similarity Orbits

For an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ on a Banach space X , we let $\mathcal{S}(T) = \{A^{-1}TA \mid A \text{ invertible}\}$ be the similarity orbit of T .

- with Sanders (2011): $\mathcal{S}(T)$ contains a path of operators which is SOT-dense in $B(X)$ and for which the set of common hypercyclic vectors for the whole path is a dense G set.

Similarity Orbits

For an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ on a Banach space X , we let $\mathcal{S}(T) = \{A^{-1}TA \mid A \text{ invertible}\}$ be the similarity orbit of T .

- with Sanders (2011): $\mathcal{S}(T)$ contains a path of operators which is SOT-dense in $B(X)$ and for which the set of common hypercyclic vectors for the whole path is a dense G set.

Observations of some zero-one phenomenon:

Similarity Orbits

For an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ on a Banach space X , we let $\mathcal{S}(T) = \{A^{-1}TA \mid A \text{ invertible}\}$ be the similarity orbit of T .

- with Sanders (2011): $\mathcal{S}(T)$ contains a path of operators which is SOT-dense in $B(X)$ and for which the set of common hypercyclic vectors for the whole path is a dense G set.

Observations of some zero-one phenomenon:

- (1) If $\mathcal{HC}(T) = X \setminus \{0\}$, the set of common hypercyclic vectors for $\mathcal{S}(T)$ is also $X \setminus \{0\}$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{HC}(T) \neq X \setminus \{0\}$, the set of common hypercyclic vectors for $\mathcal{S}(T)$ is empty.

Unitary Orbits

For an operator T on a Hilbert space H , we let $\mathcal{U}(T) =$

Unitary Orbits

For an operator T on a Hilbert space H , we let $\mathcal{U}(T) = \{U^{-1}TU : U \text{ unitary}\}$, the unitary orbit of T .

$\{\text{unitary operators on } H\}$ path connected $\implies \mathcal{U}(T)$ path connected.

Unitary Orbits

For an operator T on a Hilbert space H , we let $\mathcal{U}(T) = \{U^{-1}TU : U \text{ unitary}\}$, the unitary orbit of T .

$\{\text{unitary operators on } H\}$ path connected $\implies \mathcal{U}(T)$ path connected.

Every operator in $\mathcal{U}(T)$ has the same norm as T . So $\mathcal{U}(T)$ does not contain a path that is SOT-dense in $B(H)$.

For an operator T on a Hilbert space H , we let $\mathcal{U}(T) = \{U^{-1}TU : U \text{ unitary}\}$, the unitary orbit of T .

$\{\text{unitary operators on } H\}$ path connected $\implies \mathcal{U}(T)$ path connected.

Every operator in $\mathcal{U}(T)$ has the same norm as T . So $\mathcal{U}(T)$ does not contain a path that is SOT-dense in $B(H)$.

- with Sanders (2012): If $T \in B(H)$ be hypercyclic, then $\mathcal{U}(T)$ contains a path $\mathcal{P}$ of operators so that $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{SOT}}$ contains $\mathcal{U}(T)$ and the common hypercyclic vectors for $\mathcal{P}$ is a dense G set.

A Zero-One Law for Orbital Limit Points

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is everywhere dense.

A Zero-One Law for Orbital Limit Points

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let $T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
(A) T is hypercyclic.

A Zero-One Law for Orbital Limit Points

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let $T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
 - (A) T is hypercyclic.
 - (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.

A Zero-One Law for Orbital Limit Points

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let $T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
 - (A) T is hypercyclic.
 - (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
 - (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.

A Zero-One Law for Orbital Limit Points

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let $T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
 - (A) T is hypercyclic.
 - (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
 - (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.
 - (D) There is a vector whose orbit has infinitely many members contained in an open ball whose closure avoids the origin.

A Zero-One Law for Orbital Limit Points

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let $T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
 - (A) T is hypercyclic.
 - (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
 - (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.
 - (D) There is a vector whose orbit has in 159 .f 2 o

A Zero-One Law for Orbital Limit Points

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is everywhere dense.

- with Seceleanu (2012): Let $T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:

- (A) T is hypercyclic.
- (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
- (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.
- (D) There is a vector whose orbit has infinitely many members contained in an open ball whose closure avoids the origin.

Corollary: T is not hypercyclic if every $\text{orb}(T, x) \cup \{0\}$ is closed.

Remark: (A), (B), (D) are equivalent for bilateral weighted shifts.

A Zero-One Law for Orbital Limit Points

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit $\text{orb}(T, x)$ is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let $T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:

- (A) T is hypercyclic.
- (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
- (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.
- (D) There is a vector whose orbit has infinitely many members contained in an open ball whose closure avoids the origin.

Corollary: T is not hypercyclic if every $\text{orb}(T, x) \cup \{0\}$ is closed.

Remark: (A), (B), (D) are equivalent for bilateral weighted shifts.

- with Sanders (2004): A unilateral weighted backward shift is hypercyclic if and only if it is weakly hypercyclic. But, there is a bilateral weighted shift that is weakly hypercyclic but not hypercyclic.

A Remark on Theorem

If $\text{orb}(T, x)$ has a nonzero limit point, we can only conclude T is hypercyclic but we cannot conclude that x is a hypercyclic vector, and in fact not even a cyclic vector.

A vector x is a cyclic vector for T , if $\text{span } \text{orb}(T, x)$ is dense in X .

A Remark on Theorem

If $\text{orb}(T, x)$ has a nonzero limit point, we can only conclude T is hypercyclic but we cannot conclude that x is a hypercyclic vector, and in fact not even a cyclic vector.

A vector x is a cyclic vector for T , if $\text{span } \text{orb}(T, x)$ is dense in X .

Let (e_n) be the canonical basis of ℓ^p .

- with Seceleanu (preprint, 2013): The vector x is a cyclic vector for T , if

(1) the weight $(w_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of T is bounded below, and

(2) $\text{orb}(T, x)$ has a nonzero limit point f given by $f = a_0 e_0 + \cdots + a_n e_n$ (finite sum) for some scalars a_j .

A Remark on Theorem

If $\text{orb}(T, x)$ has a nonzero limit point, we can only conclude T is hypercyclic but we cannot conclude that x is a hypercyclic vector, and in fact not even a cyclic vector.

A vector x is a cyclic vector for T , if $\text{span } \text{orb}(T, x)$ is dense in X .

Let (e_n) be the canonical basis of ℓ^p .

- with Seceleanu (preprint, 2013): The vector x is a cyclic vector for T , if

(1) the weight $(w_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of T is bounded below, and

(2) $\text{orb}(T, x)$ has a nonzero limit point f given by $f = a_0 e_0 + \cdots + a_n e_n$ (finite sum) for some scalars a_j .

There are examples to show both (1) and (2) are needed for x to be a cyclic vector.

Proof of $\neg(B) \implies (A)''$

Suppose there exist a vector $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \ell^p$ and a non-zero vector $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots) \in \ell^p$ such that f is a limit point of the orbit $\text{Orb}(T, x)$.

Proof of $\neg(B) \Rightarrow (A)$

Suppose there exist a vector $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \ell^p$ and a non-zero vector $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots) \in \ell^p$ such that f is a limit point of the orbit $\text{Orb}(T, x)$.

Since $f_j \neq 0$ for some $j \geq 0$, we assume without loss of generality that $f_0 \neq 0$. Hence there exist an increasing sequence $\{n_k : k \geq 1\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and an integer $N > 0$ such that

$$\|T^{n_k}x - f\| < \frac{1}{2^k} < \frac{|f_0|}{2},$$

for all $k \geq N$. Then

$$T^{n_k}x = T^{n_k}(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k}, \dots).$$

Proof of $\neg(B) \Rightarrow (A)$

Suppose there exist a vector $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \ell^p$ and a non-zero vector $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots) \in \ell^p$ such that f is a limit point of the orbit $\text{Orb}(T, x)$.

Since $f_j \neq 0$ for some $j \geq 0$, we assume without loss of generality that $f_0 \neq 0$. Hence there exist an increasing sequence $\{n_k : k \geq 1\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and an integer $N > 0$ such that

$$\|T^{n_k}x - f\| < \frac{1}{2^k} < \frac{|f_0|}{2},$$

for all $k \geq N$. Then

$$T^{n_k}x = T^{n_k}(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k}, \dots).$$

Hence $\|T^{n_k}x - f\| \geq |w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k} - f_0|$. So there exists a sequence $\{n_k : k \geq 1\}$ such that

$$|w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k} - f_0| < |f_0|/2,$$

for all $k \geq N$.

$\neg(B) \Rightarrow (A)$ Completed

Thus $|f_0|/2 < |w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k}|$ and so $\frac{|f_0|}{2(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})} < |x_{n_k}|$ for all $k \geq N$. Hence we get that

$$\frac{|f_0|^p}{2^p(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})^p} < |x_{n_k}|^p, \text{ for all } k \geq N.$$

Now since $x \in \ell^p$ we have

$$\frac{|f_0|^p}{2^p} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})^p} \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |x_{n_k}|^p \leq \|x\|^p < \infty.$$

$\neg(B) \implies (A)$ Completed

Thus $|f_0|/2 < |w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k}|$ and so $\frac{|f_0|}{2(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})} < |x_{n_k}|$ for all $k \geq N$. Hence we get that

$$\frac{|f_0|^p}{2^p(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})^p} < |x_{n_k}|^p, \text{ for all } k \geq N.$$

Now since $x \in \ell^p$ we have

$$\frac{|f_0|^p}{2^p} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})^p} \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |x_{n_k}|^p \leq \|x\|^p < \infty.$$

It follows that $\frac{1}{(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})^p} \rightarrow 0$. That is, there exists an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ such that $w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

$\setminus(B) \implies (A)$ Completed

Thus $|f_0|/2 < |w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k}|$ and so $\frac{|f_0|}{2(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})} < |x_{n_k}|$ for all $k \geq N$. Hence we get that

$$\frac{|f_0|^p}{2^p(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})^p} < |x_{n_k}|^p, \text{ for all } k \geq N.$$

Now since $x \in \ell^p$ we have

$$\frac{|f_0|^p}{2^p} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} 1)$$

Recall: A Zero-One Law for Orbital Limit Points

- with Seceleanu (2012): Let $T : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p$ be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:

- (A) T is hypercyclic.
- (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
- (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.
- (D) There is a vector whose orbit has infinitely many members contained in an open ball whose closure avoids the origin.

Proof of $\setminus(C) \Rightarrow (B)''$

Let $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \ell^p$ be a vector whose $\text{Orb}(T, x)$ has $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots) \in \ell^p$ as a non-zero weak limit point, with $f_k \neq 0$.

Considering the weakly open sets that contain f , we get that for all $j \geq 1$ there exists an $n_j \geq 1$ such that $|\langle T^{n_j}x - f, e_k \rangle| < \frac{1}{j}$.

That is $|w_{k+1} \cdots w_{k+n_j} x_{k+n_j} - f_k| < \frac{1}{j}$, for all $j \geq 1$.

Next, we inductively pick a subsequence $\{n_{j_k}\}$ of $\{n_j\}$ as follows:

1. Let $j_1 = 1$.

2. Once we have chosen j_m we pick $j_{m+1} > j_m$ such that

$$k + n_{j_m} < n_{j_{m+1}} \text{ and } \sum_{i=j_{m+1}}^{\infty} |x_{k+n_i}|^p \leq \frac{1}{j_m \cdot \|T\|^{p \cdot n_{j_m}}}.$$

Thus we can assume, by taking a subsequence if necessary, that

$$\{n_j\} \text{ satisfies } k + n_j < n_{j+1} \text{ and } \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} |x_{k+n_i}|^p \leq \frac{1}{j \cdot \|T\|^{p \cdot n_j}}.$$

$\neg(C) \implies (B)$ Continued

Let $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{k+n_i} \cdot e_{k+n_i}$. Clearly y is in ℓ^p , because x is.

Then $T^{n_m}y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{k+n_i} \cdot T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}$. But $k + n_i < n_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 1$

and so $k + n_i < n_m$ for all $i < m$. Thus since T is a unilateral

backward shift we conclude that $T^{n_m}y = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} x_{k+n_i} \cdot T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}$.

$\backslash(C) \implies (B)''$ Continued

Let $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{k+n_i} \cdot e_{k+n_i}$. Clearly y is in ℓ^p , because x is.

Then $T^{n_m}y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{k+n_i} \cdot T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}$. But $k + n_i < n_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 1$

and so $k + n_i < n_m$ for all $i < m$. Thus since T is a unilateral

backward shift we conclude that $T^{n_m}y = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} x_{k+n_i} \cdot T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}$.

Furthermore, since the vectors $T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}$ and $T^{n_m}e_{k+n_j}$ have

disjoint support for $i \neq j$, that is $\widehat{T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}}(s) = 0$ whenever

$\widehat{T^{n_m}e_{k+n_j}}(s) \neq 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T^{n_m}y - f_k e_k\| \\ \leq & \|(w_{k+1} \cdots w_{k+n_m} x_{k+n_m} - f_k) \cdot e_k\| + \left\| \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} x_{k+n_i} \cdot T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i} \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$\backslash(C) \Rightarrow (B)$ Completed

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T^{n_m}y - f_k e_k\| \\ & \leq |w_{k+1} \cdots w_{k+n_m} x_{k+n_m} - f_k| + \left[\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} |x_{k+n_i}|^p \cdot \|T^{n_m} e_{k+n_i}\|^p \right]^{1/p} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m} + \left[\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} |x_{k+n_i}|^p \cdot \|T\|^{p \cdot n_m} \right]^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{m}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$\backslash(C) \Rightarrow (B)''$ Completed

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T^{n_m}y - f_k e_k\| \\ & \leq |w_{k+1} \cdots w_{k+n_m} x_{k+n_m} - f_k| + \left[\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} |x_{k+n_i}|^p \cdot \|T^{n_m} e_{k+n_i}\|^p \right]^{1=p} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m} + \left[\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} |x_{k+n_i}|^p \cdot \|T\|^{p \cdot n_m} \right]^{1=p} \leq \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{m}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T^{n_m}y \rightarrow f_k e_k$ in norm as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where $f_k e_k \neq 0$ in ℓ^p , and hence $\text{Orb}(T, y)$ has a non-zero limit point. $\square$

Bergman Spaces

Let Ω be a region in $\mathbb{C}$ and $H^\infty(\Omega)$ be the algebra of all bounded analytic functions on Ω .

Let $A^2(\Omega) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ analytic, and } \int_\Omega |f|^2 dA < \infty\}$ be the Bergman space.

If $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega)$, then we define $M_\varphi : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$ by $M_\varphi f = \varphi f$.

Bergman Spaces

Let Ω be a region in $\mathbb{C}$ and $H^\infty(\Omega)$ be the algebra of all bounded analytic functions on Ω .

Let $A^2(\Omega) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ analytic, and } \int_\Omega |f|^2 dA < \infty\}$ be the Bergman space.

If $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega)$, then we define $M_\varphi : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$ by $M_\varphi f = \varphi f$.

- Godefroy & Shapiro (1991): The adjoint operator $M_\varphi^* : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$ is hypercyclic if and only if $\varphi(\Omega)$ intersects the unit circle.

A Zero-One Law for Adjoint Multiplication Operators

Let $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be a nonconstant function, and $M_\varphi : A^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow A^2(\mathbb{D})$.

- with Seceleanu (2012): The following are equivalent.

(A) M_φ^* is hypercyclic.

A Zero-One Law for Adjoint Multiplication Operators

Let $\varphi \in H^\infty(\)$ be a nonconstant function, and $M_\varphi : A^2(\) \rightarrow A^2(\)$.

- with Seceleanu (2012): The following are equivalent.

(A) M_φ^* is hypercyclic.

(B) M_φ^* has an orbit with a nonzero limit point.

A Zero-One Law for Adjoint Multiplication Operators

Let $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be a nonconstant function, and $M_\varphi : A^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow A^2(\mathbb{D})$.

- with Seceleanu (2012): The following are equivalent.

(A) M_φ^* is hypercyclic.

(B) M_φ^* has an orbit with a nonzero limit point.

(C) M_φ^* has an orbit which has infinitely many members contained in an open ball whose closure avoids the origin.

What about the Hardy Space?

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk, and let

$$H^2 = \left\{ f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n \text{ analytic and } \sum_0^\infty |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

be the Hardy space.

- with Seceleanu (2012): The result for the Bergman space holds true for the Hardy space.

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic map.

Define $C_\varphi : H^2$

What about the Hardy Space?

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk, and let

$$H^2 = \left\{ f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n \text{ analytic and } \sum_0^\infty |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

be the Hardy space.

- with Seceleanu (2012): The result for the Bergman space holds true for the Hardy space.

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic map.

Define $C_\varphi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ by $C_\varphi f = f \circ \varphi$.

- with Seceleanu (2012): If $\alpha > 0$ is an irrational number, and $\varphi(z) = e^{2\pi i \alpha} z$, then C_φ has an orbit with the identity function $\psi(z) \equiv z$ as a nonzero limit point, but C_φ is not hypercyclic.